

January 14, 2013 (#1248)
Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Jan. 14th, 2013:

Agenda 21 Spells Nowhere to Run:

**"Petty Scandals and Trivia Dominate News,
Preventing Strengthening Study of Views
On Big Happenings, Such as Integration
Of Countries Losing "Status as a Nation",
Financial, Legal, Cultural Interdependence
Will One Day Lead to Sorrowful Repentance
Of the Majority Who'll Live in Global Slums,
No Rural Life Except for Very Rich Bums,
Herd Management by Behavioural Neuroscience,
Masses Drugged, Ragged, No Defiance"**

© Alan Watt January 14th, 2013

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Jan. 14th, 2013 (Exempting Music, Literary
Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, and this is Cutting Through the Matrix on the 14th of January, 2013. For newcomers, as always, I suggest you make good use of the website, cuttingthroughthematrix.com, and you'll find lots and lots of free audios for download where I go through the system that you're born into and how you're in a controlled society with a controlled mindset for everyone, basically, by the media and all the

institutions that work with them: the big societies that formed scientific organizations, basically, that formed to set up ways of creating a type of society across the world (an indoctrinated society) who would not know they're indoctrinated, who'd not be able to think for themselves: they'd only parrot little bits and pieces, bits and bytes of what they've been told by experts (and that system is already here). And of course the world was to go into a new kind of world order, and it's been planned for an awful, awful long time by the group that started it off, which was the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations. And they planned to take over the world and all of its resources and distribute them fairly, mind you, but they'd be privately owned by their own members. And of course, you'd all be slaves to this great global plantation, obviously. If you have no choice for doing anything yourself you're a slave. And if you've noticed, in this day and age, you're living in a society where you're given fewer and fewer choices to make for yourselves (right down to even can you grow vegetables in a garden type thing or even hold some chickens); it's called "interdependence," which means *no independence* for the individual.

So, this is a Brave New World we're going into. And as I say, help yourself to the website where I explain all of this, all the organizations involved (and there's thousands of them), there's hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of think-tanks involved planning our lives for us way into the future (they've always been there) and also the big foundations that truly comprise the parallel government (which runs the whole world): they put politicians in for you to vote for but never mention little details in your media because they own the media too.

Remember too, you are the audience that bring me to you. You can help me keep going by buying the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. You can also donate. And from the U.S. to Canada you can still send Personal Checks and use International Postal Money Orders from the Post Office, or you can send cash or use PayPal. And across the world: Western Union, Money Gram, and PayPal. Remember, straight donations are really, really seriously welcome.

And, everything that happens on a large scale in society we're always taught by the media that it just happens suddenly because some guy goes nuts or crazy in some Middle Eastern country, and we have to go in there and blow them all up; and nothing is further from the truth because if you go into the reports and the writings of, say, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, for instance, you'll find the long-term planning for a hundred years, and beyond a hundred years planning, for major things to happen across the world so that they can push the agenda forward as they standardize the world under one banking system under the Bank for International Settlements with the World Bank (all private banks that we all put money into, by the way, or your government does) and also the Central Banking System (privately owned, again) which is under the umbrella of the Bank for International Settlements. So, money and economists and the bankers rule at the top of the tree; everything comes down the pyramid to the bottom from the banks at the top. And between that, of course, near the pyramid top, you've got academia on board with them because, you see, it's so important that every generation gets a complete indoctrination into the fake reality of things. And you can make children believe anything; like Lord Bertrand Russell, who set a lot of this culture change in motion in his day and helped plan the present time that we're living through today, actually said we can train children that snow is black if we want to. So, this is how they've done things. So climate change is a heavy indoctrination for all the children because it's going to rule all of our lives, this nonsense on climate change—rule all of our lives— and it's being touted like a religion, with no data to back it up that can be proven.

Back with more after this break...

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt. We're Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the big system we're born into and all the scams that we're trained to believe are true so that we can be guided and herded and authorized and lawed, even, into this new world order. And, of course, fear is the greatest thing of all; fear is the oldest trick in the book as well, a threat from somewhere. Remember, the Club of Rome was given the task by the United Nations in the 1970's to find a way to bring all countries together with a common adversary. And they even came up with the idea of a threat from outer space, a very old idea; even H.G. Wells talked about it (and you can go much further back than him); even Ronald Reagan talked about it too; and you'll find that the founder of the American educational indoctrinational system also talked about it a long, long time ago, this would bring humans together to fight the big invaders, of course. So, the closest they could get to it, for the Club of Rome, after going over all these scenarios, was something that was out there: it was climate change, global warming, and so on. They said "that would fit the bill," famine and drought, etcetera, and everyone would give their rights up and say "save us," and then they'd rush through their whole world agenda. So, it's a good enough excuse, as I say; and it's probably more believable to people than the UFOs coming in to do it all for them but it's still farfetched and farcical and it's all fake science too unfortunately. It's been exposed many times as being fake science; and you've got to understand, within the scientific communities they get masses of grants thrown at them: they must churn out the desired results for their masters, and it's a social and a political agenda - you've got to remember that; it's nothing to do with science at all.

One of the articles that came out was to do with David Bellamy. Now, David Bellamy was on television for years in Britain and he is quite a character; he has had good shows on botany, and so on. But, his views, because of his views that global warming wasn't happening, then they fired him, basically; he can't get a job again. And the BBC is all onboard with this agenda, because they're all part of this Royal Institute of International Affairs institution type deal for global government (which they call governance). But he gives a good article here in The Independent of what happens to him. And he says that for the last thirty years, he says, the vegetables and so on have been doing good because they need CO₂, you see; CO₂ is essential for life on the planet. And CO₂, by the way, always keeps going on; it's released from the sea, even when the sea starts to cool it will still get released for a long time, and we're going through a big release from the sea of CO₂ right now. And that's not going to kill us, by any means at all. It's still a trace gas, and it's essential, as I say, for life and for all plant life. So, I'll put this article up tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com at the end of the broadcast and you can read it for yourselves. But it says he got a lot of hate mail too from all the fanatics, that have already been brainwashed, when he came out and says, no, global warming isn't happening. It says he first came out...

...in 2004 when - in the teeth of public opinion and mounting scientific evidence - he said global warming was nothing but "poppycock". He was deserted by fans, shunned by peers and, he says, ostracized by broadcasters and conservation groups that once thrived through his endorsement: he was sacked as president of the Wildlife Trusts.

Bellamy, who appears not to be able to shake the habit of speaking as if the camera were still rolling,

(Alan: During interviews, but he...)

is unrepentant. He is clear his stance on climate change ended his TV

career. Some point out that an ill-fated dip into politics before this, standing against the then Prime Minister John Major in Huntingdon in the 1997 general election for the Referendum Party, cannot have helped.

(A: At all.)

Nevertheless, in a flurry of rapid hand gestures...

(A: He says here...)

"All of the work dried up after that. I was due to start another series with the BBC but that didn't go anywhere, and the other side [ITV] didn't want to know. I was shunned. They didn't want to hear the other side." But does he still believe he is right? "Absolutely. It is not happening at all, but if you get the idea that people's children will die because of CO2 they fall for it," he says,

(A: So that's the trick that's being used, you see. It says...)

perhaps buoyed by forecasters at the Met Office...

(A: Of course the fraudsters that got all their fake statistics from their emails. And...)

"Someone even emailed me [at the time] to say I was the worst paedophile in the world, basically saying I was killing children by denying global warming," he continues, "but in the last 30 years crops have got greener and grow quicker." CO2 acts as a fertiliser, he tells me, "and that is good news but we don't get". He can't resist dragging his namesake into things, saying David Attenborough "was on our side [denying climate change] at first but then he had a change of heart".

A: And I think a bit of cash had a bit to do with it too. So I'll put this article up tonight for those who want to follow it. But I mean, people are getting fired from their jobs because the BBC has already admitted they're completely all onboard. I've got another article here with all of the meetings, the annual meetings, that go on (about 10, 15, 20 a year) that the BBC are actually sending their staff to, for global warming. And you've got to be a global-warmist believer to attend and even to get in. So, they're all onboard with the agenda because their job is to brainwash the people —that is the job of the BBC (apart from destroying the culture). So here you are, the global warming, and so on.

And this article here I have to laugh at because it's quite funny. There's two I've got here, actually, but this is one from the Daily Mail. It says...

Could a rogue nation 'hijack' climate change?

(A: It says. Now we've been getting sprayed steadily since 1998 by our own people in Canada, the States, and across Europe and even some far-Eastern countries are getting it —Australia is getting it too. In fact, one of Murdoch's companies that is into aircraft have just got a 10 million dollar contract to start doing local spraying in one area. So, they all get in on the act, big money involved. But we've got the main aircraft here flying across Canada, these massive military aircraft just spewing the stuff out every single day; it's so commonplace that I look up and watch it and I don't think much further because I'm so used to it now; it's been like that since 1998. So here they are

with this farce here...)

Could a rogue nation 'hijack' climate change? New report warns of the danger

(A: Guess who it's from? The World Economic Forum's Global Risk Report, I mean, the guys who are working with the guys that are doing it. So, again, they've got to get fear into everything and blame somebody else. So...)

A rogue nation could use climate change as an excuse to carry out potentially disastrous experiments with geoengineering, experts warn.

(A: "Experts": there's that word again that Russell said would be engrained into our minds.)

A country threatened by rising sea levels or even a wealthy individual could use their resources to tamper with the atmosphere in a bid to cool the planet, with uncertain consequences.

(A: Well, what about your governments being in on it too, and your ministry of defenses? Because they're all in on it.)

The nightmare possibility, which could set off a disastrous chain reaction in the Earth's climate systems, is one possible 'X Factor' considered in a report on global risks by the World Economic Forum.

(A: And it says...)

'The global climate could, in effect, be hijacked by a rogue country or even a wealthy individual....

Scientists worried about climate change are exploring ways in which they could artificial manipulate the Earth's climate in an attempt to mitigate some of its worst effects.

(A: They're exploring ways, it says. They've been doing it since 1998, like daily.)

Many different processes have been mooted for such geoengineering, but the most common suggestion is for 'solar radiation management' in which particles of sulphur could be injected into the stratosphere to block solar energy.

(A: Maybe we should write to them and tell them, well, we've been doing the tests for years, and you're spraying sulfur, but you've also got barium in it; you've got aluminum oxide in it; you've got other metals in it as well, and even polymers in it. So, maybe we should tell them what they've really been doing if they don't want to look at their scientific charts, because they know damn well what's going on.)

In the past, volcanic eruptions have achieved the same effect, and scientists have claimed such solar management would take effect quickly and be cheap to implement.

(A: Well, it will be cheap; it will kill a lot of us off as well, mind you, which will kill

two birds with one stone.)

Recent studies have suggested that a small fleet of aircraft could inject a million tons of sulphur compounds into the stratosphere - enough to offset half the global warming recorded to date....

(A: Even though we don't have the global warming recorded to date, since we've been cooling for over 10 years now. It says...)

'In theory, the technology would be tantamount to a planetary thermostat, giving humans direct control over global temperature,' said the WEF report.

(A: And...)

'The direct impact of dimming the sun would be felt within weeks to months.'

A: Well, it was actually, since 1998, since they started doing it; it was quite fast it went down.

Now, I'll do this other article too on the same thing. It says...

Report: Rogue Nation Could "Hijack" Globalist-Patented Geo-Engineering Schemes

(A: And it goes into the World Economic Forum, risks to the world and so on; it's got the link to it, has...)

...warned that a "rogue nation" or individual could "hijack" global climate change for nefarious purposes.

(A: And it gives...)

...several possible scenarios, or X-factors, which could occur in the coming year, among which (page 57) a geoengineering nightmare, according to the report, "in which a country or small group of countries precipitates an international crisis by moving ahead with deployment or large-scale research independent of the global community. The global climate could, in effect, be hijacked....

(A: And so on. And it says...)

In sketching out this specter of doom, the World Economic Forum carefully omits the fact that the concept of global climate change has already been hijacked- in the 1960s, by megalomaniac control freaks who admittedly used the concept of man-made climate change to force the world to adapt draconian population control measures and other globally regulated strangleholds. Instead, the WEF rather sketches a James Bond-type scenario in which some goldfinger with v-shaped eyebrows in, say, Iran sends out fleets of airplanes buzzing around to inject the atmosphere with chemicals.

Because "the funding landscape for this kind of science remains spotty", the WEF states that this "leaves a gap for unregulated experimentation by

“rogue” parties. For example, an island state threatened with rising sea levels may decide they have nothing to lose,

(A: Where are they, a wee island state, going to get the money for that?)

or a well-funded individual with good intentions may take matters into their own hands.

(A: That’s maybe a Bill Gates type, you know.)

There are signs that this is already starting to occur.”

“Recent studies”, the report goes on to state, “suggest that a small fleet of aircraft could inject a million tonnes of sulphur compounds into the stratosphere – enough to offset roughly half of the global warming experienced to date – for US\$1-2 billion annually.”

Indeed. In 2010 David Keith, director of the Institute for Sustainable Energy, Environment and Economy

(A: I love that: Sustainable Energy, Environment and Economy. They keep adding titles to their names, eh?)

has proposed releasing engineered nano-sized discs in the earth’s atmosphere to “offset global warming”- and that for just \$ 1 billion of your taxpayer-money annually.

A: Back with more after this break...

Hi folks, I’m Alan Watt; we’re talking about geo-engineering in this article here about “will we do it, won’t we do it, and what could happen if we did,” while they’ve been doing it for years and years and years (daily, in fact), and the weather has been under control for an awful long time. What you will get are extreme climate changes, and they know this, from it; it even has it in the article, this is the sort of thing that can happen when they start geo-engineering: you get these extreme weather changes from snow to warm and back and forth and all kind of weird things happening (hurricanes, you name it); it’s all a byproduct of geo-engineering. But they also go in, in this report, to nanotechnology, where they can put nano-particles into the air which will actually rise, they claim, and reflect the sunlight back out. Also, what it does though (because they’ve tried it already), it reflects the sun trapped underneath them and it just bounces back and forth, the light to the earth and back to the nano-particles, like a mirror, basically, and you really get warmed underneath it. But it’s not going to stop them; but it’s really nasty. See, we’re all children according to them you see. If they tell the children these kind of things, with our silly little minds we’d say “no, we don’t want that, it sounds nasty,” and so therefore they don’t bother telling the children, they just go ahead and do it and pretend they’re “just looking into it”; you understand, that’s how it’s done. But this article talks about Holdren, of course. He’s in on it; he’s pushing it all the time, and he gives talks at MIT seminars and so on. Then the...

...Council on Foreign Relations wholeheartedly agrees with him. In the course of 2008, the CFR held several workshops dedicated to “Planetary Scale Geoengineering”

(A: I've got these links all up in the archive section of my website, but I'll put it up again tonight, "Planetary Scale Geo-engineering." And...)

Holdren's participation in the Goldman Sachs conference was no incidental occurrence. In September of 2006, when Holdren was still director of the Woods Hole Research Center, he teamed up with the representative of the Goldman Sachs Center for Environmental Management.

(A: Goldman Sachs is into everything because they're basically the big boys at the top as we go into this totalitarian system, you know; they can't have competition, you see. The whole system is to eliminate all competition of any kind, folks.)

This partnership would supposedly "enable the Woods Hole Research Center to broaden and deepen its efforts to understand the ways that tropical forests contribute to human well-being (...)". Initiator of the partnership was the eugenics liaison front of the Global Clinton Initiative, which donated generously to stimulate the partnership. As Holdren explained: "It's particularly gratifying that we developed this project with Goldman Sachs as part of the Clinton Global Initiative- a farsighted effort of the former President to stimulate new partnerships among businesses, researchers, and public-interest organizations to address the great challenges in global health, environment, and economic development".

A: What they mean is: standardizing the world, depopulating as fast as they can by every means possible. And of course, geo-engineering does that too; folk are dying like crazy with bronchitis and so on that they can't get rid of. And the pharmacists all know that; you ask them what the most common drug now or drugs that they've been selling for the last 12-14 years and it's all because of the side-effects of the stuff you're breathing in. It's quite obvious.

Now, another article I'll put up tonight too... you all know that government's got bioethical organizations working with them, panels of bioethicists. These are guys who are trained in eugenics, you understand. Most of them are geneticists and they really believe in inferior and superior types and all of that kind of stuff, but they changed their names because of nasty things that have happened in the past with genocides and so on, and they call themselves "bioethics" (now) specialists. And so the Obama Administration has a panel of them working with them. It says...

A public meeting of the Presidential Commission for the Study of Bioethical Issues has been scheduled for Monday and Tuesday, January 14-15, to be held at the University of Miami Hospital Seminar Center, Florida:

(A: That's this month.)

The stated topic for discussion is "ethical issues associated with the development of medical countermeasures for children."

(A: And it sounds, "well I guess it's about children's health" and so on, right? That's what you think? No, it's actually about testing anthrax vaccines on children within America. So, it says...)

The Obama Administration is seeking approval to conduct morally impermissible, wholly non-therapeutic medical experiments that would

expose healthy children to risks of serious harm.

Specifically, the Department of Health and Human Services is seeking to test the highly controversial, dangerous Anthrax vaccine, on children.

For over a year, the Commission has been attempting to find a rationale for endorsing a proposed government policy that would violate fundamental medical ethics principles. Principles mandated under the Nuremberg Code after the atrocities committed under the Nazi regime came to light.

(A: They never mention the ones that were done to the Soviets.)

Under US Law, research involving greater than minimal risk and no prospect of direct benefit to individual subjects, is prohibited in healthy children. (45 CFR 46, subpart D).

If not stopped, the US government would override ethical and legal prohibitions by testing “medical countermeasures” on unprotected children who are legally incapable of giving informed consent. The government would subject healthy but socioeconomically deprived American children

(A: Ones at the bottom...)

to unjustifiable risks of harm—to be exploited as human guinea pigs.

The overarching question—Not specified by the Commission or the Administration—is, WHOSE CHILDREN ARE TO BE SELECTED for experiments that violate ethical and moral standards?

If history is a guide, underprivileged children’s best interest will [be] sacrificed to serve as a means to an end that will benefit powerful commercial and government entities.

More than a decade has passed since the US was attacked by terrorists...

(A: And...)

No biochemical weapon has ever been shown to pose a threat to Americans—neither military personnel nor civilians. The only exception was the mailing of anthrax laced envelopes in October, 2001, by a US military scientist, on a US military laboratory—who is now dead.

(A: And that’s true. It was from the U.S. Base at Maryland. So, it says...)

There is no evidence whatsoever of an anthrax threat to American children.

A: But we’re all guinea pigs now, you see. They’re spraying all of us. They’re injecting us with all kinds of diseases. And it’s depopulation time, folks—you can’t tell the children.

Back with more after this break...

Hi, I’m back and we’re Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the big world, with all

of its disinformation and its half-truths and blatant falsehoods too, but some things will click together; if you've done enough studying you'll understand what's happening. Back in the 50's, or even in the 40's, Bertrand Russell talked...and he was a member, remember, of the Macy group and the Frankfurt School and other ones that were working to create a new American and Western Culture. And they planned, like the communists planned, and many of them actually were communists; well, the lot of the different schools that joined them were all communistic groups that came into Britain and the U.S. But he said eventually we'll train the people not to think for themselves; experts will do all their thinking for them, until they can't do anything without the advice of an expert (well that's happened). That's all the papers have to say now, is "experts say," and we all listen, you see. So, again, everything is a political tool and a socially political tool as well. Now, this article here is about something that he mentioned way back then. He said eventually we'll make the children, and the people, hedonistic and narcissistic, he said, so that they will have no bonding to the outer greater society (and that's been awfully successful: they're all into themselves). This article says...

How college students think they are more special than EVER: Study reveals rocketing sense of entitlement on U.S. campuses

Books aside, if you asked a college freshman today who the Greatest Generation is, they might respond by pointing in a mirror. Young people's unprecedented level of self-infatuation was revealed in a new analysis of the American Freshman Survey, which has been asking students to rate themselves compared to their peers since 1966. Roughly 9 million young people have taken the survey over the last 47 years.

(A: And it says...)

Psychologist Jean Twenge and her colleagues compiled the data and found that over the last four decades there's been a dramatic rise in the number of students who describe themselves as being 'above average' in the areas of academic ability, drive to achieve, mathematical ability, and self-confidence. But in appraising the traits that are considered less individualistic - co-operativeness, understanding others, and spirituality - the numbers either stayed [or] slightly decreased over the same period. Researchers also found a disconnect between the student's opinions of themselves and actual ability.

While students are much more likely to call themselves gifted in writing abilities, objective test scores actually show that their writing abilities are far less than those of their 1960s counterparts. Also on the decline is the amount of time spent studying, with little more than a third of students saying they study for six or more hours a week compared to almost half of all students claiming the same in the late 1980s. Though they may work less, the number that said they had a drive to succeed rose sharply. These young egotists can grow up to be depressed adults. A 2006 study found that students suffer from 'ambition inflation' as their increased ambitions accompany increasingly unrealistic expectations. 'Since the 1960s and 1970s, when those expectations started to grow, there's been an increase in anxiety and depression,' Twenge said. 'There's going to be a lot more people who don't reach their goals.' Twenge is the author of a separate study showing a 30 per cent increase towards narcissism in students since 1979.

(A: It says...)

'Our culture used to encourage modesty and humility and not bragging about yourself,' Twenge told BBC News. 'It was considered a bad thing to be seen as conceited or full of yourself.' Just because someone has high self-esteem doesn't mean they're a narcissist. Positive self-assessments can not only be harmless but completely true. However, one in four recent students responded to a questionnaire called the Narcissistic Personality Inventory with results pointing towards narcissistic self-assessments. Narcissism is defined as excessive self-love or vanity; self-admiration, or being self-centered. Twenge said that's a trait that is often negative and destructive, and blames its boom on several trends - including parenting styles, celebrity culture, social media, and easy credit - for allowing people to seem more successful than they really are.

(A: And also too it says...)

'What's really become prevalent over the last two decades is the idea that being highly self-confident - loving yourself, believing in yourself - is the key to success,' Twenge said. 'Now the interesting thing about that belief is it's widely held, it's very deeply held, and it's also untrue.' Despite a library's worth of self-help books promoting the idea we can achieve anything if we believe we can, there's very little evidence that raising self-esteem produces positive, real-world outcomes. 'If there is any effect at all, it is quite small,' said Roy Baumeister of Florida State University, who authored a 2003 paper on self-esteem studies. Baumeister found that while successful people did have high-self-esteem in many cases, it was unclear what [actually] caused their success [in] the first place. Both self-esteem and success were often influenced by another factor. 'Coming from a good family might lead to both high self-esteem and personal success,' Baumeister said. 'Self-control is much more powerful and well-supported as a cause of personal success. Despite my years invested in research on self-esteem, I reluctantly advise people to forget about it.'

Twenge compared it to a swimmer trying to learn a turn who needs to believe that learning the skill is possible but who won't actually be aided in acquiring that skill by their belief. 'You need to believe that you can go out and do something but that's not the same as thinking that you're great,' Twenge said. Studies suggest weaker students actually perform worse if given encouragement at boosting their self-esteem. 'An intervention that encourages [students] to feel good about themselves, regardless of work, may remove the reason to work hard,' Baumeister found.

But if you found yourself bothered by a person always talking about how wonderful they are,

(A: There's lots of them about these days, eh?)

remember that their future may not be bright.

'In the long-term, what tends to happen is that narcissistic people mess up their relationships, at home and at work'....

(A: And...)

Though narcissists may be charming at first, their selfish actions eventually damage relationships. It's not until middle-age they may realize their lives have had a number of failed relationships.

A: So, it's going right along the way that Bertrand Russell and the teams that he worked, Frankfurt School, the Macy Group, and so on, they all worked to bring in to the culture and it's all here —it's worked. Nothing happens by itself, you understand; I hope you realize that. That's what Social Studies were all about when they brought the communists in from the East and placed them all through universities in the West; they were preaching communism, by any other name (you know, a rose is a rose...). So, it's been very successful because, you see, the big boys at the banks ran both sides: it's the greatest thing of all, running both sides.

And also too (everybody will know this, of course, but) the Belgian Government already has a euthanasia policy, but they can help people who are healthy enough to die if they don't want to live. It says...

twins, 45, helped to die after losing sight

(A: It says...)

Identical Belgian twin brothers, born deaf, becoming blind and unable to bear not being able to see and hear each other, had their wish to die granted in a case testing the boundaries of legal euthanasia.

A: It's amazing how euthanasia is just booming right now as they get right up to it and, of course, that's all out of the top eugenicists and the bioethics committees that are promoting all this kind of stuff: "How are you feeling today?" "I'm not too well, I'm not feeling too great." "Why don't you kill yourself?" "Well, ok." Because they're actually discussing that for just mild depression, or depression in general; some folk get a bout of it once in their life, but they don't know that; it will pass and they'll get over it. But they're going to euthanize you and so on. See, life is cheap now, and we must get that through our heads, that life is really cheap.

And also tonight too, it's called...

At "Big Tent Church Of Globalization" White House Advisor Lauds EU's "Strong Accountability"

(A: You see...)

At a recent conference on global governance and local accountability, described by the chairman as the "big-tent church of globalization", Obama's top economic security advisor Michael Froman lauded the European Union's "strong degree of accountability".

(A: They don't even tell you how much they spend or lost. I love these soviet systems; it's just amazing, with their arrogance. They don't even discuss anything to the general public. It says...)

As a preface to the subject at hand (globalization and local accountability), Froman told the people present that contrary to popular belief, the EU is

exemplary in its process of accountability towards its member-states...

(A: And it says...)

"Much has been written about the democracy-deficit in Europe", Froman said. "My understanding is that in any week in Brussels there are 200 meetings between representatives of the member-states and members of the commission, reviewing every commission-proposed action at every stage in its gravity.

(A: They only thing is, they don't ask any of the public in on it; we don't get told about it. You have all these special groups that all, basically, put themselves up there and became your special groups simply because you didn't say "they don't speak for us"; now they're special groups. He says...)

When you add that to the ministerial meetings of each semester and to the now quite frequent head of state council meetings, increasingly frequent, head of state council meetings, it's hard to say that the process of coming up with decisions in the European Union- not to mention the role of the European Parliament- doesn't reflect a strong degree of accountability."

A: So it's wonderful. I love these characters that are just so full of themselves at the top as they lie their faces off to the public, and probably make themselves believe that they're doing everything properly. A big paycheck can make you believe anything, you know; and the power that goes with it too. It kind of goes to their heads, I think.

Also too, there's three little articles on Israel...

Israel recruits 'army of bloggers' to combat anti-Zionist Web sites

(A: So, it says...)

Israelis who speak a second language to represent Israel on 'problematic' Websites in new Absorption Ministry program.

A: Quite a strange name: Absorption Ministry program. I'll put that up tonight too. And also, the big news from Britain, the Mail and the Guardian and other papers, is that it's very probable that the next government in Israel will be the ultra ultra right-wingers. So it says...

Rightwingers on the rise in Israel

(A: It's amazing that too, because all the pro-Israelis generally are putting countries they live in outside of Israel into this communal global system, and destroy nations. It says...)

Israeli elections are due on January 22 and polls suggest that a government ranked as one of the most rightwing in Israel's history is set to be replaced by one even further to the right. Binyamin Netanyahu's Likud - now merged with the party headed by his ultra-nationalist former foreign minister, Avigdor Lieberman - is losing ground to the ultra-ultra-nationalist Jewish Home party. Even the more modest projections suggest Jewish Home will emerge as the third-largest party, one that Netanyahu will find very hard to

exclude from his next coalition.

And what kind of outfit is Jewish Home?

(A: It says...)

Take a look at its leader, Naftali Bennett, born of American parents and a champion of the West Bank settlers. He demands immediate annexation by Israel of 60% of the West Bank. In a 2010 TV debate he dismissed a Palestinian member of the Knesset in these terms: "When you were still climbing trees, we had a Jewish state here ... We were here long before you."

(A: He says.)

Even if Bennett is kept out of the coalition, Netanyahu will still head a more rightist government. The Likud's few remaining moderates were purged in recent internal elections, replaced by hardliners such as Moshe Feiglin. Here is what he told a reporter from the New Yorker: "You can't teach a monkey to speak and you can't teach an Arab to be democratic. You're dealing with a culture of thieves and robbers ... The Arab destroys everything he touches."

A: Actually it's an ancient, ancient belief, that, with the Talmud, and they're told to kill all Amalekites, as they call them, and so on. But I'll put that up tonight too. And, the outcome of this might be this too, and it says, Israel may, if it gets worse and worse in Israel, to keep their own people happy, because folk keep saying "oh, you can't do that to the Palestinians"...

Europe will ditch Israel sooner than US, Israeli analyst says

(A: And...)

Dr. Paul Rivlin, a senior research fellow of Middle Eastern and African studies at Tel Aviv University

(A: Says that. And it says...)

Dr. Paul Rivlin, a senior research fellow of Middle Eastern and African studies at Tel Aviv University, made the remarks during a recent interview with the Internet-based video streaming network HuffPost Live run by news website The Huffington Post.

"The West will simply not allow this to happen," said Rivlin, referring to Israel's illegal settlement activities on the Palestinian territories, "sooner or later there will be a break, and it's quite possible that this break will come with Europe instead of the United States."

(A: He says...)

"I suspect that the European patience for Israel will break sooner than the American one, whether we like it or not," he added.

Commenting on Israel's future, Rivlin said, "Israel is occupying the West

Bank, and is expanding settlements all over the West Bank -- particularly around Jerusalem (al-Quds). This will lead to either the creation of a single state (Palestine), in which there is eventually a majority of non-Jews... or as some Israelis would like, on the very far right, the expulsion of the Palestinians...

(A: Altogether...)

either way that is the end of..." Israel.

A: He says. So, things are really moving there. But you've heard this stuff your whole life, I'm sure. But yeah, they're pushing to be more nationalistic, ultra-nationalistic, as the pro-Zionists in other countries are trying to get governments to integrate and get rid of their nationalism altogether, with multiculturalism, etcetera; quite the policy.

And also tonight too I want to put up, to do with what I mentioned last week about polio, about polio vaccines and all the information you need to know on it. Tonight I'll put up a list of tests and so on and studies on polio vaccine; there's a lot of them there from all the top medical journals in the world to show you that they know what it does to the people, with the cancer vaccines and everything else that has affected the general populations. You don't make these mistakes, folks. You don't mistakenly put cancer viruses in, especially when you knew they were there before you gave out the first dose. And even Salk himself, from an old recording that was done with an old 8 or 16 millimeter recording, he talks about this; he knew; he said but the benefit would be far greater. Greater than what? Than a whole generation comes down with cancers, hmm? You really have to wonder, I mean, this is all planned this way or what? You know. And the people are going infertile very quickly in all the countries that got all these things too. It takes too many birds with one stone, these polio (and not just polio) vaccinations, all the other vaccinations too. And remember too, they're not asking for volunteers as the big boys at the top of all your governments (one after the other) talk about depopulation, rapidly. How do you think they're going to do it? Well, obviously they're not going to tell you. That's how they do it (and they've been doing it for a long time).

Now...

Now Greece Bans Cash - Again

(A: You know they banned it once before; well, they're going to do the same thing. It says...)

Greek Finance Ministry plans to put an end to cash payments. Purchases worth more than 500 euro will be not possible with cash money anymore. Finance minister Yiannis Stournaras told the Parliament on Thursday that he examines that "the expansion of electronic transactions with credit cards and other electronic payment methods for a wide range of transactions for amounts much smaller than those required by current legislation," which is currently at 3,000 euro. All transactions worth more than 500 euro will have to be made only through money transfer through banks, or credit/debit cards and checks.

(A: So, they're getting forced into it because the IMF is running them and the Central European Bank is running them. This is the age of technocracy; they just place the experts in charge of you, as I say. And, what an old agenda: and here we're living

through it, a hundred years later. It's quite something, isn't it? And they're under the gun because they've got to impress the officials at the European Union, and the International Monetary Fund, it says. So they've got to bring all these policies in and more taxes. It says...)

In fact, the Greek Parliament is voting today on a new tax bill that broadens the tax base to raise another 2.5 billion euros, and also introduces new annual income thresholds for salaried taxpayers. It does away with tax breaks for the self-employed.

(A: Now as well.)

Entrepreneurs have emerged as the latest "bandits" in Greece's ongoing efforts to bleed the wretched Greek carcass of its last available drop of revenue. Government benefits have been slashed, taxes raised and Greeks are being pursued with maniacal determination for taxes, paid or not.

It's all about satisfying the Eurocrats and the IMF.

A: And every country is going to get its share of it, including the Canada and the States when it's their time as well.

Back with more after this...

Hi folks; we're back, Cutting Through the Matrix. And there's Frank hanging on from North Carolina. Are you there Frank?

Frank: Yes.

Alan: Yeah, go ahead.

Frank: Well, I really called in to ask you about art. I've never heard anyone ask this question. You know how art sort of changed, I'm not sure when it was, but I became aware of it. And comedy, 1960s and 70's comedies, where a character in a sitcom would, you know, typically an older more ignorant type person would make strange faces when they saw these new forms of abstract art and whatnot, and I was just wondering: was there any agenda-based influence involved in the creation of that new form of art, or was that even... I don't know much about art...

Alan: Yeah, it was. It was actually. The big socialist organizations, communist actually, wanted to bring a form of nihilism. They said if we can get a culture destroyed by being nihilistic; that's where you lose your self-confidence as a country. You lose the sense of beauty; a whole attack on everything that was beauty, in fact, and bring you down that way. And they brought in... well, the Guggenheim Foundation were the forerunners of all that; they really pushed it out there. FDR's wife got involved and started buying up these streaks and splashes that they call art, to make it popular, knowing that the general population follow their "betters," as they call them, and they brought it in for that particular reason. It was to destroy beauty as we know beauty. Because remember what the Frankfurt School said: they'd have to destroy all the culture of the West (the family unit too), even sexual morals, moralities and so on, and take it down to even necrophilia; and not till even then would they stop. And that also meant all beauty as well to do with art: anything that was natural, that the culture thought was natural or beautiful, had to be utterly destroyed. So, it's been awfully successful. But the Guggenheims were the ones to start picking up these people who squiggled and

splashed paint on things and say it was fantastic, etcetera etcetera.

Now, we'll go to Milan from New York if he's still there. Hello?

Milan: Hello Alan, how are you tonight?

Alan: Not too bad at all, yeah.

Milan: Ok, I'm listening to the show and I want to ask you something. You said something about selfishness and hedonism, and I don't know if it's domestication, because I see a lot of people even when I met them on the street, they know pretty much what's going on. But when they have to confront in a situation, any situation, an action to protect themselves— they don't do that. Something happened to me this last Friday, I took an exam in New York City here for Taxi and Yellow Cab, and it was about a hundred of us. And we experienced from these people from DOT commission, some officers, I don't know, it was staff, extreme abuse. Like you can imagine a prison, and after one hour I couldn't even take it anymore, so I fought with him. But then I realized in that moment he couldn't believe; he just excused me; he said you can go out, but later he came out, he said he couldn't believe that I was that aggressive. But I show a lot of these other people... I just can't imagine how can men, hundreds of men, how they can just stand and not do anything in this kind of a circumstance.

Alan: Yes. What it's been is a process of domestication. And again, that experts are right and those in authority are in charge and you're down there at the bottom. You just simply do what you're told. It's domestication of the individual. That was one of the big targets as well, of the Frankfurt School and others —and it's happened. That's why they obey authority like they do. But you're quite right. We're supposed to stand up for ourselves, individually, when anything wrong is going on. And good for you. Thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself, from Ontario Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God, or your Gods, go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[David Bellamy---Views on Climate Change Ended his Career](#)

[We've been Subjected to Weather Modification Daily since 1998---Now See This:](#)

[Rogue Nation Could Hijack Globalist Geo-Engineering Schemes](#)

[& More on Above](#)

[Obama Admin. Seeks to Test Anthrax Vaccine on Children](#)

[College Students and their Inflated Concept of Own Abilities](#)

[Belgium and Euthanasia](#)

["Church Tent of Globalization"---White House Advisor
Lauds EU's Strong Accountability \(to Whom?\)](#)

[Israel Recruits Army of Bloggers to Combat Anti-Zionist Sites](#)

[Israel Going Ultra-Nationalist](#)

[& More on Above](#)

[Europe will Ditch Israel Sooner than the US](#)

POLIO: the Virus and the Vaccine

[Part 1](#) [Part 2](#) [References](#)

[Greece Bans cash Transactions Again](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- [BOOKS](#)** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando
um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- [CDs](#)** Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Part 1 (1998) and Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- [DVDs](#)** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"